## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:05-cr-170
v.	)	Honorable Timothy P. Greeley
GREGORY WOODY,	)	
Defendant.	) )	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on November 10, 2005, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Gregory Woody entered a plea of guilty to Count One of a Superseding Information, charging defendant with conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute and to distribute 50 grams or more of a mixture or substance containing a detectable amount of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance in violation of 21, U.S.C., §§ 846, 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B)(viii), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:05-cr-00170-PLM ECF No. 131 filed 11/14/05 PageID.384 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Count One of a Superseding

Information be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea

agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that

defendant remain detained pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt,

acceptance of the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending sentencing, and

imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: November 10, 2005

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY

United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceed-

ings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing.  $See~\mathrm{W.D.}$ 

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -